

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

JOHN W. INMAN,)	3:05-cv-00157-LRH (VPC)
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	<u>ORDER</u>
DAVID THAWLEY, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

WHEREAS, the Defendants have responded (##57, 58, & 60) to the court's October 30, 2006, Minute Order (#53) and the Plaintiff has replied (#62), the court finds that the McDonald Carano Wilson, LLP law firm (hereinafter "McDonald Carano law firm"), of which this judge was a partner prior to his appointment to the bench in 2001, is not a counsel of record in this case, is not an interested party in this case, and has no pecuniary interest in the outcome of this case.

The court further finds that there is no indication of probable participation of the McDonald Carano law firm in this action.

Good cause appearing, the suggestion of voluntary recusal is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 8th day of December, 2006.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE